#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

HB 863 BILL #: Pub. Rec./Direct-Support Organization/DVA

SPONSOR(S): Reagan

TIED BILLS: HB 861 IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1464

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Committee on Healthy Seniors		DePalma/Massenga	ale Ciccone
2) Healthcare Council			
3) Policy & Budget Council			
4)			
5)			_

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

House Bill 863 is the public records exemption companion to HB 861, which directs the Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs to authorize the establishment of direct-support organizations for the purpose of providing assistance, funding, and support to the department. The bill makes confidential and exempt, from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and Article I, s. 24(a) of the Florida Constitution, the identity of a donor or prospective donor to the directsupport organization who desires to remain anonymous, as well as all identifying information of such donor or prospective donor. The bill further provides that such anonymity shall be maintained in the auditor's report authorized under 215.981, F.S., but that the Department of Veterans' Affairs and the Auditor General shall have access to all records of the direct-support organization upon request.

The bill specifies this exemption as subject to the Open Government and Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15, F.S., and provides that such exemption will stand repealed on October 2, 2013, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

The bill provides a statement of public necessity for the exemption.

The bill creates a new public records exemption and, as a result, is subject to Article I, s. 24(a) of the Florida Constitution, which requires that two-thirds of the members present and voting in each house shall pass the bill.

The bill provides an effective date that is contingent upon HB 861 taking effect and becoming law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h0863.HS.doc STORAGE NAME:

DATE: 3/6/2008

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

**Provide Limited Government** – This bill decreases access to certain public records.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

# **Background**

## HB 861

HB 861 directs the Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs ("the department") to authorize the establishment of direct support-organizations (DSOs) for the purpose of providing assistance, funding, and support to the department. The bill provides for governance of the DSO by a board of directors, and specifies board composition and term limits. The bill additionally requires that a DSO shall operate under a written contract with the department, and provides contract requirements. The bill authorizes the department to permit use of departmental property, facilities, and personal services by the DSO under certain circumstances. Finally, the bill restricts transactions or agreements between the DSO it authorizes and another DSO absent approval by the department's executive director, requires the DSO to submit certain federal tax documents to the department, and provides for an annual financial audit of the DSO in accordance with s. 215.981. F.S.

## Veterans Returning from the Global War on Terror

According to the department, the number of members of the United States Armed Forces who have served or are presently serving in the Global War on Terror and who claim Florida as their home of record (a good indicator of where such individuals are likely to reside after leaving the service) as of December 2007 is 158,349. This figure is up from 143,469 in December 2006. It is the department's contention that this influx of younger veterans will require increased flexibility on the part of the department to meet their needs.

#### Public Records Law

The State of Florida has a long history of providing public access to governmental records. The Florida Legislature enacted the first public records law in 1892. One hundred years later, Floridians adopted an amendment to the State Constitution that raised the statutory right of access to public records to a constitutional level. Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution provides that:

(a) Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0863.HS.doc 3/6/2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S. 1390,1391, F.S., (Rev. 1892).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Article I, s. 24 of the Florida Constitution.

In addition to the State Constitution, the Public Records Act,<sup>3</sup> which predates the State Constitution, specifies conditions under which public access must be provided to records of an agency.<sup>4</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S., states:

Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.

Unless specifically exempted, all agency records are available for public inspection. The term "public record" is broadly defined to mean:

...all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.<sup>5</sup>

The Supreme Court of Florida has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business which are used to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge. All such materials, regardless of whether they are in final form, are open for public inspection unless made exempt.

Only the Legislature is authorized to create exemptions to open government requirements.<sup>8</sup> Exemptions must be created by general law and such law must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.<sup>9</sup> A bill enacting an exemption<sup>10</sup> may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.<sup>11</sup>

There is a difference between records that the Legislature has made exempt from public inspection and those that are confidential and exempt. If the Legislature makes a record confidential and exempt, such information may not be released by an agency to anyone other than to persons or entities designated in the statute. If a record is simply made exempt from disclosure requirements an agency is not prohibited from disclosing the record in all circumstances.

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>14</sup> provides for the systematic review, through a 5-year cycle ending October 2<sup>nd</sup> of the 5<sup>th</sup> year following enactment, of an exemption from the Public Records Act or

PAGE: 3

 STORAGE NAME:
 h0863.HS.doc

 DATE:
 3/6/2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ch. 119, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The word "agency" is defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., to mean "…any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency." The Florida Constitution also establishes a right of access to any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except those records exempted by law or the State Constitution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> S. 119.011(11), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Associates, Inc., 379 So.2d 633,640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Wait v. Florida Power & Light Company, 372 So.2d 420 (Fla. 1979).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Memorial Hospital-West Volusia v. News Journal Corporation, 729 So.2d 373, 380 (Fla. 1999); Halifax Hospital Medical Center v. News Journal Corporation, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Under s. 119.15, F.S., an existing exemption may be considered a new exemption if the exemption is expanded to cover additional records.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Attorney General Opinion 85-62

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So.2d 683,687 (Fla. 5<sup>th</sup> DCA), review denied, 589 So.2d 289 (Fla. 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> S. 119.15, F.S.

the Public Meetings Law. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.

The act states that an exemption may be created or expanded only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and if the exemption is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of three specified criteria, and if the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. An exemption meets the three statutory criteria if it:

- (1) allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption:
- (2) protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which would be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals, or would jeopardize their safety; or
- (3) protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information that is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.<sup>15</sup>

The act also requires consideration of the following:

- (1) What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- (2) Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- (3) What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- (4) Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- (5) Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- (6) Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

While the standards in the Open Government Sunset Review Act may appear to limit the Legislature in the exemption review process, those aspects of the act that are only statutory, as opposed to constitutional, do not limit the Legislature because one session of the Legislature cannot bind another. 16 The Legislature is only limited in its review process by constitutional requirements.

Further, s. 119.15(4)(e), F.S., makes explicit the fact that:

...notwithstanding s. 768.28, F.S., or any other law, neither the state or its political subdivisions not any other public body shall be made party to any suit in any court or incur any liability for the repeal or revival and reenactment of any exemption under this section. The failure of the Legislature to comply strictly with this section does not invalidate an otherwise valid reenactment.

Under s. 119.10(1)(a), F.S., any public officer who violates any provision of the Public Records Act is guilty of a noncriminal infraction, punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500. Further, under paragraph (b) of that section, a public officer who knowingly violates the provisions of s. 119.07(1), F.S., relating to the right to inspect public records, commits a first degree misdemeanor penalty, and is subject to suspension and removal from office or impeachment. Additionally, any person who willfully and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Straughn v. Camp, 293 So.2d 689, 694 (Fla. 1974).

knowingly violates any provision of the chapter is guilty of a first degree misdemeanor, punishable by potential imprisonment not exceeding one year, and a fine not exceeding \$1,000.

## **Effect of Proposed Changes**

The bill is the public records exemption companion to HB 861, which directs the Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs to authorize the establishment of direct-support organizations for the purpose of providing assistance, funding, and support to the department. The bill makes confidential and exempt. from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and Article I, s. 24(a) of the Florida Constitution, the identity of a donor or prospective donor to the direct-support organization who desires to remain anonymous, as well as all identifying information of such donor or prospective donor. The bill further provides that such anonymity shall be maintained in the auditor's report authorized under 215.981, F.S., but that the Department of Veterans' Affairs and the Auditor General shall have access to all records of the direct-support organization upon request.

The bill specifies this exemption as subject to the Open Government and Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15, F.S., and provides that such exemption will stand repealed on October 2, 2013, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

The bill provides a statement of public necessity for the exemption.

The bill creates a new public records exemption and, as a result, is subject to Article I, s. 24(a) of the Florida Constitution, which requires that two-thirds of the members present and voting in each house shall pass the bill.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 292.055(6), F.S., as created by HB 861, 2008 Regular Session; creating a public records exemption.

**Section 2.** Provides a statement of public necessity for the exemption.

Section 3. Provides an effective date that is contingent upon HB 861 taking effect and becoming law.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

There may be minimal costs of complying with the confidentiality and exemption requirements; however, these costs are indeterminate.

### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

STORAGE NAME: h0863.HS.doc PAGE: 5 3/6/2008

None.

C	DIRECT	<b>FCONOMIC</b>	IMPACT	ON PRIVATE	SECTOR:
Ο.					OLOIOI

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

## **III. COMMENTS**

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This legislation does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take any action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenue in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

D. STATEMENT OF THE SPONSOR

None provided.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h0863.HS.doc **PAGE**: 6 3/6/2008